



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY

B5

JAN 08 2007

FILE:

Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

Date:

IN RE:

Petitioner:

Beneficiary:

PETITION:

Immigrant Petition for Alien Worker as a Member of the Professions Holding an Advanced Degree or an Alien of Exceptional Ability Pursuant to Section 203(b)(2) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(2)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Mark Gleason

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Director, Vermont Service Center, denied the employment-based immigrant visa petition. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v) states, in pertinent part, “[a]n officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.”

On the Form I-290B Notice of Appeal, filed on October 31, 2005, the petitioner checked a box stating “I am not submitting a separate brief or evidence.” Thus, the appeal form itself is the entire appeal. Part 3 of the appeal form instructs the petitioner to “Briefly state the reason(s) for this appeal.” The petitioner has left this section blank. Thus, the petitioner has stated no grounds for appeal, and she has indicated that no such grounds will be forthcoming.

The filing of an appeal does not guarantee or compel a full readjudication of the petition. The petitioner must specify how the original decision was deficient; it cannot suffice simply to register general dissatisfaction by filing an appeal devoid of content. Inasmuch as the petitioner has failed to identify specifically an erroneous conclusion of law or a statement of fact as a basis for the appeal, the appeal must be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.